P14

19 35

Department of

OFFICE _____

STATION _____

R. No. 1554

Dated 24. 8. 35

Pages in the file

(SUBJECT)

Ranges. Harpanahalli taluk – Hospet circle –

Reconstitution – Proposal approved.



C.F. 32.

In reply, please quote number, date and short title.

Excise DEPARTMENT

Received ..... *Registered* .....

From (Name) T.Sivasankar [illegible], I.C.S.

(Designation) Deputy Commissioner of Excise, Northern

Post Box ....., (Station) Division, Vizagapatam.

Telegraphic Address 'Decex'

To

The Secretary to the Commissioner of Excise,
Madras.

ABKARI 7802

R.C.*No.* 150/35, *dated* 25--7---35 *19*

Sir,

Subject:- Ranges- Harpanahalli taluk-Hospet circle- reconstitution- proposals submitted-

-

Enclosures .....

.....

.....

Spare Copies .....

In my notes of inspection of the Hospet circle B.R.187/35 dated 1--3--35, I referred tothe need for the formation of an additional range (third range in the Harpanahalli taluk which at present is divided into two unwieldy and extensive ranges viz., Harpanahalli and Utchengidrug.

2. The Harpanhalli taluk as it existed prior to 1932 was 611 sq. miles in extent and consisted of two ranges Harpanahalli and Utchangi durgam (328 and 283 sq. miles respectively). In G.O. No.144 Revenue dated 20-1-32 Hadagalli taluk was converted into a Sub-taluk and 24 villages of the same were transferred to the Harpanahalli taluk. Consequent on this, the two ranges of the Harpanahalli taluk were reconstituted with the Mysore road as the dividing boundary. This arrangement was approved in C.P.R.1570 abk. of 32 dated 8--8--32.

ps (2)

P.T.O.

3. Utchangidurgam is now called Teligi range with reference to the headquarters of the range which happens to be @ Teligi.

4. The newly constituted ranges are 340 and -- 389 sq. miles in extent and contain a large number of villages (parent and hamlets vide details in the margin) and are unwieldy and much too extensive for efficient - management.

	Sq.miles.	Villages	
		Main	Hamlets.
* Harpanahalli	340	53	37
Utchengidrugam	389	55	95.

The ranges being extensive and the communications poor efficient patrol of villages is impossible. The Sub-Inspectors at present have not been able to devote their attention to detection as most of their time is occupied in routine work such as shop inspection, surprise visits patrol of villages and marking and in covering long distances between widely sundered places. During my inspection of the Harpanahalli range I noticed that the present Sub-Inspector of Harpanahalli who maintains a pony and uses it discovered 6 new villages in the interior which were not entered in the P-26 and which had never before been visited by any of his predecessors. I merely mention this to give an idea of the inaccessible nature of the villages which if neglected are certain to become the foci of mal-practices in the range.

I.D. crime exists in both the ranges, and has been on the increase as indicated by the figures in the enclosed statements. I.D. centres are scattered throughout the taluk and during the past 3 years I.D. crime has been reported in as many as 18 villages. Lambadies (sugalies) of the taluk are notorious illicit distillers. Considering

Considering the scope for the commission of this class of crime existing in the taluks the figures of previous detection cannot possibly be taken as a true index of the real state of affairs which must be much worse than the figures indicate.

The taluk borders the Mysore territory where from Ganja is smuggled.

In the interest of efficient administration it seems highly desirable to divide the taluk into 3 ranges, Harpanahalli, Utchengidrug and ~~Arasilleri~~ Arasikere as shown in the enclosed sketch (submitted in duplicate) statements showing the area, number of villages, number of shops, revenue and detection in the existing and proposed ranges are enclosed

Copies of letters of the Inspector and the Asst.Commissioner in this connection are enclosed.

5. As regards the establishment required for the ranges I have to submit that no new sanction is necessary. There has, as already reported, been a complete cessation of - import of toddy from the Hyderabad state into the British territory since 1--10--32 owing to a change of abkari contractors in the Kopbal state of the Hyderabad territory. The British ~~k~~ renters do not find it profitable now to import toddy paying the high price demanded by the new contractor. ~~And~~ Now as the Government of ~~his~~ His Exalted Highness the Nizam are introducing (if they have not already introduced) the tree tax system it is unlikely that toddy will be imported to British territory from Hyderabad in future

Toddy intended for the Raichur toddy shops of the Hyderabad State was however continued to be transported by rail through Hospet. This transport of toddy also ceased with effect from 14--1 34 and there is thus no transport of Nizam's toddy through Hospet. Thus the services of a Sub Inspector at the Chowkie are no longer necessary. Should the transport of toddy intended for the Hyderabad state through Hospet revive the Head Clerk of the Hospet circle may be empowered to check the consignments and seal the waggons and for this he may be allowed some allowance. The Sub-Inspector sanctioned for the chowkie may therefore be utilized for the new range proposed to be formed. The proposed ranges may have a strength of 4 peons each. Ten peons sanctioned for the existing two ranges are available and the remaining two peons my be drafted from the establishment of the railway chowkie.

6. The above proposals may be sanctioned on a permanent basis or if that is not possible at least on a temporary basis for a period of 3 years after which time the question of making the arrangement permanent may be considered.

S. Nagakumshun

For Deputy Commissioner,
Northern Division. 31.7.35

wpo 7/35.
30

Copy of Bellary Asst.Commissioner's R.B.155/35 dated 30--5---35.

--

Subject:- Administration- Harpanahalli taluk- formation of an additional range- proposals- submitted.

--

According to the instructions of the Deputy Commissioner contained in his R.C.150/35 dated 24--2--35, I submit the following proposals for favour of sanction.

With the spread of I.D. during the past 2 years, the existing Harpanahalli and Uchingidrug ranges of the Harpanahalli taluk as reformed with reference to Commissioner's R.1570/8-8-32 are unwieldy and much too extensive for efficient management by 2 Sub-Inspectors. The lambadies in the taluk are notorious distillers and it is necessary that the area should be better supervised to avoid leakage of Government revenue. Owing to the unweildy and extensive jurisdiction, the Sub Inspectors of the taluk have not been able to devote much of their time towards detection as most of their time is spent in routine work such as shop inspections surprise visits, patrol of villages and amrking and in order to bring the whole taluk under proper supervision, I consider it desirable to divide the taluk into 3 ranges i.e., Harpanahalli, Arasikeri and Teligi with headquarters at Harapanahalli, Arasikere and Teligi respectively as proposed by the Inspector Hospet circle. By the reformation of the ranges the existing Uchangidrug range excluding the portion north of the road from Harpanahalli to Kotur and the villages Chiristhanahalli and ~~Uniadi~~ Yadihalli and Teligi lying to the west of Gundugatti will form the Arasikere range the remaining portion of the taluk comprising Harpanahalli

Harpanhalli and Teligi ranges the dividing line being the western and southern limits of ~~Harkanalli~~ Harkanahalli and Kallahalli as indicated in the plan submitted with the necessary particulars marked therein (Inspector requested to submit direct to Dy.Commissioner)-

Statistics under (a) area (b) villages, main and hamlets (c) shops (d) crime (e) and marking (f) and revenue as existing and proposed are furnished separately (B and F are being sent by unreg'd. parcel)

As regards the establishment I furnish below the present and proposed strength of each range.

Ranges	Existing		Proposed.	
	Sub Inspectors	Peons	Sub Inspectors	Peons.
Harpanahalli	1	5	1	4
Teligi	1	6	1	4
Arasikeri	Nil	Nil	1	4.
	2	10	3	12

By the formation of the new range there will be necessity for an extra establishment of one Sub Inspector and 2 peons as detailed above for which the existing staff attached to the Chowkie found superfluous there being no transactions for the past 2 years may be drafted. Any consignments of toddy passing through the Chowkie may be verified by the Head Clerk Hospet circle office by converting the post of the Head Clerk into that of a Sub Inspector if one is available elswhere or by specially empowering him to do so to comply with rule 15 of the transport rules.

Copies of Hospet Inspector's R.C.145/13-4-35 and 25-5-35 are enclosed.

True copy S.Nagalingaiah

/

Copy of Hospet Inspector's letter R.C.145/35 dated 15--4--35.

Subject:- Harpanahalli taluk- additional range proposals- report submitted-

--

In continuation of this office R.C.145/35 dated I beg to furnish up to date statistics with figures of detection as they would be when redistributed. In this connection reference is solicited to this office D.Dis. 221/34 dated 19--7--34 where the necessity for the formation of a third range in the Harapanahalli taluk was fully discussed. A map showing I.D. centres in the taluk is also furnished. (not received ; follows)

I should also like to say a few words about the following points with a view to a speedy settlement of the question.

1. Area:- Harpanahalli taluk is one of the biggest taluks in this circle. It is 729sq., miles in area. By itself it was a big taluk but after the abolition of the Hadagalli taluk some of the villages of Hadagalli taluk were added on to this taluk and so the taluk has become more unwieldy for two Sub Inspectors to manage. Each Sub Inspector has at present a jrusidiction of nearly 360 sq., miles which in a taluk with few good roads is fairly unmanageble.

2. Villages:- This taluk originally contained 84 main villages but after the conversion of the Hadagalli taluk into a Sub taluk 24 more villages have been added on to this taluk thus bringing the total number to 108. Harapanahalli range contains 91 main villages and hamlets and Teligi range 150 villages and hamlets and it is not humanly possible for the Sub Inspectors to patrol all these villages

as per rules without a flagrant violation of the very principles of patrolling.

3 Shops:- Harapanahalli range consists of 12 arrack, 2 ganja and 22 toddy shops or a tatal of 37 shops and Teligi range consists of 10 arrack 5 ganja and 19 toddy or a total of 34 shops and the Sub Inspector is expected to inspect these shops twice a month. Apart from these unwieldy number of shops which the Sub Inspector is expected to inspect every month some of them are so situated that one Sub Inspector has got to march miles before he could reach them whereas the same shops could be reacned with ease and facility b the other Sub Inspector who has no jurisdiction over them. For instance arrack shops and toddy shops Duggavathi are only 3 miles from Teligi - Head quartes of Teligi range but are under the jurisdiction of Harpanahalli range whose headquarters is 16 miles away Ittigi arrack shops ganja shop and toddy shop are only 15 miles from Harpanahalli but the Sub Inspector Teligi range has got to come all the way from Teligi and pass through Harpanahalli a distance of 28 miles before he could inspect these shops. I am mentioning these anamolies more with a view to remedy these defects immediately rather than to justify the formation of a third range. But when I brought to the notice of the Asst.Commissioner it was suggested in the Asst.Commissioner's D.Dis.599/34 dated 20--5--34 that in view of the proposals for the formation of a third range this might be dropped. Again there is is an unequal distribution of ganja shops Harpanahalli range having only 2 ganja shops and Teligi range having 5 ganja shops. The formation of a third range will help

help the distribution of these shops more evenly so that no Sub Inspector will have more workthan the other in the matter of verification of ganja consignments.

4. Crime:- Looking at the figures of detection for the last 5 or 6 years the necessity for the formation of a third range may not appear to be quite necessary for the detection of grame crime such as I.D. of arrack is really insignificant considering the scope for it in these two vast ranges. The average number of cases reported in these two ranges works out to 16 per year if there were 3 rangges it would work out to 10. But past achievement is not the sole criterian for determining the suitability or otherwise of sub-dividing the taluk into 3 ranges for the sub inspectors cannot do much by way of detection as the have to be going about from place to place like rolling stones and cannot concentrate their attention upon the I.D. centres which are spredy all over the taluk.

5. Tree marking:- Each range for the present marks on an average about 1500 trees per year and marking is not heavy. By the formation of another range the average marking per range per year may probably work out to 1000 trees which is more than the average marking in ranges like Hospet, Hadagalli and Thambrahalli where the Sub Inspector has not got such vast jurisdiction nor so many shops and villages.

All things considered I propose that the taluk may be divided into 3 ranges with one Sub Inspector and 4 peons for each range. The ranges may be formed as follow:-

follows:-

1.Harpanahalli:- Comprising of the northern portion of the Harpananalli taluk with 41 main villages, 38 hamlets and consisting of 1 depot 8 arrack 13 toddy and 2 ganja shops. Its headquarters may be at Harpanahalli.

2. Uchangidrug:- Comprising of the southe eastern portion of the taluk with 35 main villages and 67 hamlets and consisting of 6 arrack 14 toddy and 3 ganja shops. Its head quarters may be Arasikera 10 miles from Harapanahalli and (3) Teligi:- comprising of the south western prtion of the taluk with 38 villages and 38 hamlets and consisting of 8 arrack 14 toddy and 2 ganja shops. Its headquarters may be Teligi 13 miles from Harpanahalli.

Sub Inspectors Harpanahalli and Teligi may each surrander one peon and the additional establishment required will be one Sub Inspector and two peons for which I propose that the services of the Chowkie Sub Inspector and his two peons may be conveniently utilised as no toddy is likely to be imported by any of the British shop keepers from the Nizam's government in the near future. The task of checking toddy consignments passing through Hospet from one part of the Hyderabad state into another may be done by the Head Clerk Hospet circle who may be empowered to do so on some fixed remuneration or the post of the head clerk may be converted into that of a Sub Inspector to comply with rule 15 of the transport rules.

In any case the proposals I have submitted may kindly be approved at an early date as they aim at maximum efficiency with minimum cost with resources available on hand and also as they do not require the sanction of Government for extra

11

for extra expenditure.

/true copy/

S Nagalinga

For Deputy Commissioner,
Northern Division. 31.7.35

M.I.29/7.

Exd.by (illegible) 30/7/35

Copy of Hospet Inspector's R.C.145/35 dated 25-5-35.

--

Subject:- Harpanahalli taluk- formation of 3 ranges- further particulars- submitted.

--

I submit herewith the classified statement of offences for the 3 ranges as proposed. The figures had to be taken from the P-12 as there will be further delay if they are called for from the Sub Inspectors. The names of villages where I.D. crime was reported during the past 3 years are also furnished in a separate statement.

I regret I did not submit the map drawn on drawing paper but thought that the printed map will give a better idea of the proposed changes. The map may kindly be returned to enable me to submit a fresh may as ordered.

As already pointed out in my report the necessity for the formation of a new range is not so much for the purpose of ~~cometing~~ combating I.D. and kindred offences but to give the Sub Inspectors greater opportunity to do their legitimate work more efficiently and thoroughly than they do at present and also to enable them incidently to have spare time for the detection of I.D. crime in the respective ranges. It

12

it will however be seen from the statement enclosed that I.D. crime has steadily increased in the taluk. 5 cases were reported in 1932-33, 9 in 1933-34 and 13 in 1934-35. Hence even with the existing vast jurisdictions the Sub Inspectors have been able to do something in this direction. If this jurisdiction is lessened and their routine work is more made to engross all other work it is hoped that the Sub-Inspectors will do their best in unearthing crime wherever it exists more efficiently than they are at present.

True copy

S Nayakkumshu

For Deputy Commissioner, 31.)3√
Northern Division.

M.I.29/7.

Exd.by 30/3/35

List of villages in each of the three newly proposed ranges of Harapanahalli taluk.

Main villages in Harapanahalli range.	No. of hamlets.	Main villages in Arasikeri range	No. of hamlets	Main villages in Teligi range	No. of hamlets
1.Alabur	2	14.Advinalli	2	46.Yedainalli	5
2.M.Kallanalli	2	15.Kutnigi	2	48.Neelagonda	
3.Nandibevur		16.Prithivesavavam	1	47.Lamirastanalli	2
4.Kanvihalli		17.Neluvangi		49.Machihalli	3
5.Kodinalli		18.Benminalli	2	68.Arasnanal	
6.Bagali		19.Kathinalli	1	70.Kenchur	
7.Sringarathota	1	20.Aldanalli	2	71.Ittigudi	1
8.Konganahosur.		21.Hagiripdinalli	2	72.Atiplavada	1
9.Aydoor.	1	22.Basevanalli	1	73.Teligi	1
10.Cajapur.	4	23.Kudabagiri	1	74.Singaranalli	3
11.Konigiteri		24.Komaranahalli	2	75.Duggavatni	
12.Kayadahalli		25.Kichavaranalli	4	76.Kadtni	3
13.Kenapur	1	26.Kikkanapur		77.Yeerabalu	1
14.Harapanahalli	1	27.Koshilingapur.		78.Nittoor	
15.Ahanhanahalli		28.Arasikeri.	1	79.NittorBasapur	
16.Molikota		29.Thimmapur		80.Thavarepudi	
17.Narayanapur	1	30.Tahvudur	4	81.Halavagal	1
18.Kadapur.	2	31.Kosakota	3	82.Harvi	
19.Kalanalli	1	32.Kadinalli	1	83.Harvibaspur	
20.Ratibasapuram.	3	33.Budinal		84.Kuruvathi	2
21.Kolingahalle	2	34.Anijigeri	5	70.Motharabbi	
22.Hagiranur.		35.Punanagatta	5	71.Byalanunsi	
23.Kaikolichi.	1	36.Kallugudi	2	72.Hiraibamathi	2
24.Kallavada.		37.Honnagoru	3	73.Kathiblur	1
25.Kanakanur.	1	38.Kangatta	2	80.Hyaraba	
26.Kalapuram.	1	39.Chethenalli	5	81.Patalakati	
27.Kalligeri.	3	40.Hegalagiri	7	82.Dasanahalli	2
28.Kanakirigatta.	4	41.Satnur	2	83.Veerapuram.	
29.Kalinahalli	3	42.Singerinalli		84.Budanur	
30.Kotapur.		43.Kagimasavada	1	85.Holel.	
31.Karkinal		44.Kallikeri	2	86.Dombarahali	
32.Kanamaram.		45.Kanchkeri		87.Mylore.	
33.Kinatta.		50.Gundahatti	1		
34.Kalamandirai.	2	51.Kyarakatta	3		
35.Kogali		52.Nambatahalli			
36.Kolikodikalli					
37.Kanmanali					
38.Katigi	1				
39.Kalasanagenalli					
40.Kallankeri					
41.Kerkanahalli	1				
41	38	35	67	32	28.

For Deputy Commissioner,
Northern Division.

30/8

Statement showing the names of villages where I.D. crime has been reported. 14

Year	Villages	Year	Villages	Year.	Villages.
1932-33	1.K.Kallahalli (a)	33-34	1.Hagarnur	1934-35	1.Govindapura
	2.Chetnehalli (b)		2.Kolachi		2.K.Kulahalli
	3.Chikkamogalagiri		3.Chetnehalli (b)		3.Kaggalageta
	4.Ittigudi		4.Girijapuram.		4.Chigeteri
	5.Singrahalli		5.HireMegalagiri		5.Gundagatti
	6.Alagilavada		6.Bevinahalli		6.Katnebenur
					7.Ittigudi (c)
					8.Kunchoor
					9.Holalu
					10.Girijapuram.

Classified statement of offences for the past 3 years in Harpanahalli ~~xan~~ taluk ranges as proposed.

Name of range.		I	IV	V	VII	VIII	X	XII	XIII	XIV	XV	XVI	XVII	XVIII	XIX	To-tal
Harpana halli	1932-33	1		1			1									3
	33-34	2				1	3								1	7
	34-35	3		1			2				2					8
Mingi drug.	1932-33	4			1		3					1	3	1		13
	33-34	5	2	1			1				2	1		2		12
	34-35		3			1	1	2			2	1				10
Heligi proposed	1932-33	1	3				6		1							11
	33-34		1	3		2	3					1		1		11
	34-35	2	2	4	1		4				3	1	2	1		20

31.7.35

For Deputy Commissioner,
Northern Division.

30.7.35

[illegible]kur
[illegible]bu[illegible]halli
[illegible]halli.
[illegible]kallahalli
[illegible]chemmanalli
[illegible]dachavaranalli
[illegible]gara Hosur.
[illegible]dur
[illegible]vihalli
[illegible]yapuram.
[illegible]savannanalli.
[illegible]puram.
[illegible]lagapur
[illegible]esaranalli.
[illegible]gateri.
[illegible]adanalli.
[illegible]apura. Hombalagatta.
[illegible]evarathnapuram. 45 Adavihalli
[illegible]ijapanakanahalli.
[illegible]i
[illegible]ravasaluardu
[illegible]ahallapalli.
[illegible]thiwesrapuram.
[illegible]eswaram.
[illegible]ari.
[illegible]i.
[illegible]B
[illegible]Yarikola
[illegible]thinalli.
[illegible]adanalli
[illegible]adanalli.
[illegible]Chiranahalli.
[illegible]Chirmanalli.
[illegible]garigudinalli.
.Kunilanalli
[illegible]Nagargudi
32.Basivinalli
1.Munashinalli.
33.Kudlagiri
1.Kasavanahalli.
34.Komaranahalli
1.Dyapinayakanahalli.
2.Milikatti.
35.Nichayanahalli
1.Advenabbulapuram.
2.Hariyanahalli.
3.Kanabanasapuram.
4.Vadrabasapuram.
36.Hikinagiri.
37.Joyisalingapuram.
38.Arasikeri.
1.Yellapuram.
39.Thirumalapuram.
40.Tavadaur.
1.Kadalahalli.
2.Nelagondanahalli.
3.Singalahalli.
4.Yeraballi.
31.Havakota.
1.Hirekalanalli.
2.Chikkanalli.
3.Gudinalli.
32.Mathinalli.
1.Gudemallu.
33.Baddinalu.
34.Anigigeri.
1.Renupuram.
2.Sivasmswarapuram.
3.Janganarylur.
4.Huinahalli.
5.Hanumanahal.
35.Pumnatagatta.
1.Chempuram.
2.Dugabasapuram.
3.Dharpuram.
4.Nandikamabam.
5.Kamnaatranalli.
36.Kallugudi.
1.Giddanagarahalli
2.Thimnapuram.
37.Uchingidrug.
1.Revinhalli.
2.Kariobyam.
3.Galadanalli.
38.Ramagatta.
1.Narimgommanahalli.
2.Honnevananalli.
39.Chetnenalli.
1.Phanjjapuram.
2.Kenchapuram.
3.Kuringanahalli.
4.Kallalahalli.
5.Sowlahalli.
40.Hiremegalagiri.
1.Jambulinganahalli.
2.Srikamlapuram.
3.Aribasapuram.
4.Voddenahalli.
5.Chikkanyalagiri.
6.Patnalgatta.
7.Chikkalakshmapuram.
41.Sathur.
1.Gollalahalli.
2.Hosanalli.
42.Singrenalli.
43.Ragimusalavada.
1.Nagalapuram.
44.Halikeri.

45.Kanchigiri.
46.Yadihali.
1.Bakkikati.
2.Alukavligiri.
3.Salyanapanahalli.
4.Bandikeri.
5.Rayapuram.
47.Chirastahalli.
1.Dadapuram
2.Bhimapuram.
3.Jaladahalli.
50.Gundagathi.
1.Talasagal.
51.Kyaraghatta.
1.Jittivanahalli.
2.Arasapuram.
3.Baluhalli.
52.Kambatrahali.
73.Teligi.
1.Thimalapuram.
74.Sirgganahalli.
1.Ingalaguid.
2.Thembigiri.
3.Sankaruhalli.
75.Dugguvalli.

List of villages transferred from Hadagali taluk.

37.Nellukudari.
38.Kogali.
39.Kogali Kadihalli.
40.Kanivihalli.
41.Ittigi.
43.Mhajanadhalli.

Total 55 villages.

List of villages and hamlets in Harpanahalli range as existing

3.Nandibervar
4.Kaneruhalli.
5.Kadihalli.
6.Bagali.
7.Srinagarathota.
1.Dadagranahalli.
48.Nilayagunda.
53.Harpanahalli.
1.Keriyaganadadapuram.
54.Anantanahalli.
55.M.Agraharam.
56.Narahapuram.
1.Ellapuram.
57.Madapuram.
1.Chikkanahalli.
2.Makhornahalli.
3.Sabbyakaonearnahalli
60.Sivalinganahalli.
1.Basarakodu.
2.Uppinayakanahalli.
61.Hagarur.
62.Hirekoalachi,
1.Chikkalachi.
63.Manarmhasalavada.
64.Tamlapuram.
1.Kaggalgatta.
65.Vyasandlapuram.
1.Karakondayakarhalli.
66.Madlagiri.
1.Kananahalli.
2.Singnihalli.
3.Voddanadadapuram.
67.Togarigatta.
1.Alamariseku.
2.Gowrinalli.
3.Hireyallapuram.
4.Uddagatta.
68.Kurumalakallahalli.
1.Chikkamajjigiri.
2.Aremajigiri.
3.Hampapuram.
69.Arasavahalli.
70.Ittagudi.
1.Bevinahalli.
72.Alagilavada.

1.Girizapuram.
76.Kudathi.
XX.1.Nandyala
2.Vathlahalli.
77.Yeerabala.
1.Mathur.
78.Nithur.
79.Nithurbasapuram.
80.Tavaraguid.
81.Halavagal.
1.Gurbhagudi.
82.Harvi.
83.Varvibasapuram.
84.Kuruvathi.
1. Manganayakanahalli.
Hadagalli taluk villages transferred to Harpanahalli.
44.Mallanahari.
45.Markanahalli.
70.Makarabbi.
71.Byalahamsi.
72.H.Bomati.
73.Kathebemuri.
76.Ishapuram.
77.Harkanahalu.
78.K.Agraharam.
79.Kulikota.
80.Hyarada.
81.Athubkatha.
82.Dasanahalli.
83.Veerapuram.
84.Budanaur.
85.Holalu.
86.Bombarahalli.
87.Hayalur.

S. Nagabhushan
For Deputy Commissioner,
Northern Division. 31.7.85

20.7.85

Detection figures of Harpanahalli Taluk

Year	I	II	III	VII	VIII	IX	X	XI	XII	XIII	XVI	XVII	XIX	Total
				Harpanahalli range										
1929-30				4	5	1	3			1	1	2	3	18
1930-31				2	1	1	6		1		2	2	7	20
31-32		1			1		4						12	18
32.33		2	2	1	-	-	2			1				8
33-34		2	5		1		6				1		3	18
34.35		6	4				3				3	2		18
Total		11	11	7	8	2	24	-	1	2	7	2	25	100
				Ekangi dravy range										
1929 30			X	3	3	2	7						5	18
30-31		2				X	6						10	18
31-32			2	2 3	3	4	4	0	2	2	2	2	12	27
32.33	7			1			9					2	-	19
33-34	5	1			1		3					1	1	12
34.35	2	3		1	1		4	-	2	-	2	1	4	20
Total	14	6	-	5	8	2	33	-	3	2	3	6	32	114

S. Nagarajan 31.7.35

For Deputy-Commissioner
Norton 4.

20.7.35

Arno
20.7.35

Particulars of Harpanahalli Taluk, Rayadurg Dy. forming 3 ranges

Name of range	Area in Sq miles	Head Quarters	No. of Villages Main	No. of Villages Hamlets	No. of Sections A.S	No. of Sections T.S	No. of Sections B.S	No. of Sections A.W.S	No. of Trees marked	Crime 29-30	30-31	31-32	32-33	33-34	34-35	Remarks
					As existing											
1. Harpanahalli range	340	Harpanahalli	53	38	12	22	2	1	1230 in 8 villages	18	20	18	8	18	18	
2. Uchangidurg	389	Teligi	55	95	10	19	5	-	1500 in 11 villages	18	18	27	19	12	20	
Total	729		108	133	22	41	7	1	2730	36	38	45	27	30	38	
					As proposed											
Harpanahalli	262	H. Halli	41	38	8	13	2	1	910	12	13	15	9	10	13	
Uchangidurg	254	Arasalli	35	67	6	14	3	-	910	12	13	15	9	10	13	
Teligi range	213	Teligi	32	28	8	14	2	-	910	12	12	15	9	10	12	
Total	729		108	133	22	41	7	1	~~2730~~ 2730	36	38	45	27	30	38	

S. Narasimhaiya 31.7.35

Attd 30.7.35

For Deputy Conservator

11

. Statement showing Rentals and Consumption of the Ranges as existing in Harapanahalli Taluk for the past five years under Toddy, Ganja and arrack.

As Existing. 20

Name of the range	Rentals					Consumption					Remarks.
	1930-31	31-32	32-33	33-34	34-35	30-31	31-32	32-33	33-34	34-35	
				Arrack.							
1 Harapanahalli	10116	3468	2832	3844	3374	1645-40	1099-30	1389-23	1106-24	937-37	
2 Uchangidurg	4320	1710	1356	2036	1380	1178-21	582-8	827-4	628-35	535-6	
Total	14436	5178	4188	5900	4754	2824-13	1681-38	2217-2	1735-11	1472-43	
				Ganja							
1 Harapanahalli	2880	1872	1752	2424	1500	73-0	93-38	104-24	85-60	58-28	
2 Uchangidurg	4692	2832	2424	3144	2124	224-5	169-36	198-42	152	72-69	
Total	7572	4704	4176	5568	3624	297-5	263-2	302-66	236-62	131-9	
				Toddy							

7. Harapanahalli	19466	10072	1300	04000	10210	2931	1909	2026	1488	1867
8. Uchangidrug	16576	8604	6960	7524	10104	2930	1971	1811	1731	1457
Total	36042	18696	14260	15924	20314	5867	3880	3837	3919	3252

S. Nagalingam
31.7.37

Asst
30.7.37

In Deputy Commissioner's Office
Norton 5.8

Statement Showing the rentals and Consumption
Taluk for the past five years

Name of the range	Rentals				
	1930-31	31-32	32-33	33-34	34-
	R.	R.	R.	As.	Crop Area
I. Harpanahalli	7152	2244	2256	3168	27
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
K. Jeligi	5256	2016	1188	1364	12
Total	14436	5178	4188	5900	47
					Gauja
I. Harpanahalli	3024	2160	2096	2712	19
J. Uchangidrug	2640	936	876	1392	9
K. Jeligi	1908	1308	1224	1464	6
Total	7572	4404	4176	5568	36
					Todo
I. Harpanahalli	12852	6660	5244	6228	76
J. Uchangidrug	12616	6540	5604	6048	85
K. Jeligi	10574	5496	3412	3648	40
Total	36042	18696	14260	15924	203

Asst
30-7-35

. Of the ranges as proposed in Papanchalli under Arrack, Ganja and [illegible]

Consumption.

	1930-31	1931-32	1932-33	1933-34	1934-35	Remarks
	g. d	g. d	g. d	g. d	g. d	
	1083-16	787-38	1108-35	921-12	733-28	
	367-33	372-32	461-47	357-25		
	473-12	521-16	446-36	456-24	548-45	
	2824-13	1681-38	2217-22	1735-14	1972-43	
	s-y	s. y	s. y	s. y	s. y	
	127-5	129.76	176-2	121-2	174-60	
	98-0	81-40	62.40	62-0	80-69	
	72-0	51-56	64-24	53-4	25-40	
	297-5	263-12	302.66	236-6	331-9	
	d	d	d	d	d	
	2037	1420	1394	1449	1220	
	1927	1312	1247	1145	60.010	
	6903	11498	4196	1325	1032	
	5867	3880	3837	3919	2852	

S. Nagabhushanam
31.7.35
For Deputy Commissioner
N. A.

2nr

S
C

CR 7802-B Estt/35-

Ranges - Harpanahalli
taluk - Hospet circle
- Reconstitution -
Proposals.

R.C. 1507/35-D 25.7.35 from the
D.C.D.

The D.C.D reports that
two ranges of Harpanahalli and
Ketchangidrug (now called Teligi range) of the
Harpanahalli taluk are very
extensive & unwieldy. with an
area of 340 & 389 sq miles
respectively. The ranges being
extensive and the communication
poor, efficient patrol of villages
is impossible. The SIs at present
have not been able to devote
their attention to detection as most
of their time is occupied in
routine work such as shop inspection
surprise visits etc.

I.D. crime exists in both the ranges
& has been on the increase. The
D.C. submits that in the interest of
efficient administration it is
highly desirable to divide the taluk
into 3 ranges - Harpanahalli, Ketchangi-

and Arasikeri. - sketch put up below.

As regards the establishment required the D.C. suggests that the S.D & 2 peons sanctioned for the Harpet Rly. chowkie may be utilised for the proposed new range. There is little or no work in the Chowkie. It is in addl. charge of the Harpet Rly S.D.I At present there is a S.D.A & 5 peons in each of the Kanpanahalli & Tegali Ranges. As proposed the 2 ranges will have each a S.D.A & 4 peons. The additional estt of 1 S.D.A & 2 peons being drawn from the chowkie staff. The D.C. states that the proposal may be sanctioned on a permanent basis or if that is not possible at least on a temporary basis for a period of 3 years. The proposal either to transfer the S.D.A & 5 peons sanctioned for the Chowkie to the proposed Arasikeri range can be sanctioned by the Commr. Govt sanction is required only to transfer the estt sanctioned from for one circle to another circle for more than a year. Appendix 5-(c) p52 of F.H.C. Vol I

For orders whether the D.C's proposal may be approved

Rly 5835 16.8.35

12.8.35

25

C.F.16.

DRAFT FOR APPROVAL.

	Date.	Initials.
When received for fair copying ..		
When copied ..		
When examined..		
When signed ..		
When despatched.		

SUBJECT:— Ranges – Harpanahalli Tq – Hospet circle – Reconstitution Proposal – Approved.

READ AGAIN _____, dated _____ 19____.

" " _____ " _____ 19____.

READ *ALSO*:–

No. _____ From the D.C.N.D Rc. No. 150/35, dated 25-7-1935.

" _____ " _____ " _____ " _____ 19____.

" _____ " _____ " _____ " _____ 19____.

Order / Resolution — Ms. / Rout. CR No. 7802-B, ~~dated~~ a/25- 19-.

Proceedings

The following proposals of the D.C.N.D. are approved:–

i) to form a third range in the Harpanahalli Tq of the Hospet circle;

ii) to utilise the estt of one s.v.sar. 2 peons sanctioned for the Hospet Rly Chowkie for the proposed new Ranges (Harpanahalli and ~~Hospet~~)

iii) & have a strength of 4 peons for each of the 3 ranges proposed for the Harpanahalli Tq. ~~proposed~~.

Runnells

To the D.C.N.D (with 2 maps returned by regd post)

Copy to " " A.C. Bellary Sub Dn.

DESPATCHED 27 AUG 1935

21.8.35.